Approval Criteria

Supports Professional Learning (up to 3 points): Explain and support how the activity will address **one or more** of the following criteria:
- ❑ Enhance teaching pedagogy and/or practices
- ❑ Increase discipline knowledge
- ❑ Acquire new skill
- ❑ Provide professional development and/or renewal

Benefits Institution (up to 3 points): Explain and support how the activity will address **one or more** of the following criteria:
- ❑ Prepare students for evolving roles in a diverse, dynamic, and interdependent world
- ❑ Enhance student success
- ❑ Improve programs or services
- ❑ Align with strategic initiatives, Education Master Plan, Guided Pathways, Student Equity Plan, and/or Institution's Mission, Vision, and Values
- ❑ Further institutional, program, or department goals

Funding requests will be reviewed and scored according to the following rubric:

Category	Score			
Supports Professional Learning	Thoroughly explains and supports how the activity will support professional learning of the applicant 3	Explains how the activity will support professional learning of the applicant 2	Attempts to explain how the activity will support professional learning of the applicant 1	Does not explain how the activity will support professional learning of the applicant 0
Benefits Institution	Thoroughly explains and supports how the activity will benefit the institution 3	Explains how the activity will benefit the institution 2	Attempts to explain how the activity will benefit the institution 1	Does not explain how the activity will benefit the institution 0
Total Score:				**/6**

Applications must receive an average score of at least 4 to be approved. If multiple applications are received during the reviewing period, the Committee will assign a rank to each approved application.